INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

YEARBOOK

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

VOLUME XXX – 2005

GENERAL EDITOR ALBERT JAN VAN DEN BERG

with the assistance of International Bureau of the Permanent Court of Arbitration The Hague A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 90 411 2403 9

Published by Kluwer Law International, P.O. Box 85889, 2508 CN The Hague, The Netherlands. sales@kluwerlaw.com http://www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by Aspen Publishers, Inc. 7201 McKinney Circle, Frederick, MD 21704, USA

Sold and distributed in all other countries by Turpin Distribution Service Ltd, Stratton Business Park, Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade, Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ, United Kingdom

Printed on acid-free paper

© 2006 Kluwer Law International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Kluwer Law International, Rights and Permissions Department, P.O. Box 85889, 2508 CN The Hague, The Netherlands. E-mail: permissions@kluwerlaw.com. Website: www.kluwerlaw.com.

Printed and bound by CPI Antony Rowe, Eastbourne

With this Volume XXX, the Yearbook completes its third decade of reporting on international commercial arbitration law and practice. As Jean Robert, President of ICCA, wrote in 1976 in his Preface to Volume I of the Yearbook, "at the New Delhi Congress in 1975, ICCA decided to publish a Yearbook that would serve as a source of information of what is going on in the field of commercial arbitration throughout the world". Pieter Sanders, the Yearbook's first General Editor, opened his Introduction to the first Yearbook stating "This Yearbook is the first of a series of at least five". Thirty volumes later, the Yearbook still reports on "what is going on" in a greatly expanded field of commercial arbitration.

The XXXth volume of the Yearbook retains its traditional printed format. In addition, in collaboration with its publisher, Kluwer Law International, the Yearbook, along with ICCA's *International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration* and selected volumes of ICCA's *Congress Series*, are made available by subscription in two electronic formats as part of the materials on the *Arbitration CD-Rom: Resources on International Commercial Arbitration* and the online service <www.kluwerarbitration.com>.

The International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration functions alongside the Yearbook in providing up-to-date information on arbitration law and practice in more than sixty countries. National Reports together with the relevant legal texts are published in the Handbook. The Table of Contents of the Handbook is reproduced in Part I of the Yearbook where, until the introduction of the Handbook, National Reports were published. Thus, the reader will find arbitral awards, rules and leading court decisions from a broad range of countries and institutions in the Yearbook, and National Reports and legislation on arbitration in the Yearbook's companion publication, the Handbook. In addition, Part IV of the Yearbook, entitled Recent Developments on Arbitration Law and Practice, provides a summary of the salient features of newly enacted arbitration legislation, this year reporting on Chile, Denmark, Norway, Philippines and Poland.

The contents of Part II – A of this Yearbook reflect the evolving policy of arbitral institutions increasingly to make awards and decisions issued under their auspices available online on their websites or in their printed publications. In response to this increased availability, the Yearbook has become more selective, publishing relevant awards from sources which otherwise are not readily available. This year's selection includes awards from the German Maritime Arbitration Association, Hamburg Friendly Arbitration and the International

Chamber of Commerce. The awards deal with issues such as a holding of lack of jurisdiction, consolidation, applicable law to the validity of the arbitration agreement and the applicable substantive law, applicability of the 1980 UN Sales Convention, employment disputes, interim relief and the various forms in which it may be ordered, and the recurring question of calculation of damages.

Part II – B reports on leading court decisions falling outside the scope of Part V's reporting on the major arbitration conventions. It includes a Canadian decision upholding a NAFTA award, stating that the "applicable standard of review ... is at the high end of the spectrum of judicial deference"; two French decisions, one holding that WIPO internet domain name decisions are not awards and that applications for setting aside are not admissible in the French courts and the other granting a request to assist in the appointment of a party arbitrator in an arbitration where the only connection with France was that the president of the ICC Chamber of Commerce would appoint the third arbitrator if the parties failed to do so, on the basis that to not do so would be a denial of justice. The 1923 Geneva Protocol is found not to be applicable in a Pakistani court decision, and finally, in a Swedish court decision, the court held that it did not have jurisdiction over an application to set aside an award where the award stated that the place of arbitration was Stockholm, but in the view of the court, there was no connection with Sweden and it did not foresee a denial of justice as the award could be subject to setting aside elsewhere.

Part II – C reproduces court decisions applying the UNCITRAL Model Law as reported in UNCITRAL's periodical *Case Law on UNCITRAL Texts (CLOUT)*.

Recently introduced or amended rules are reproduced in Part III – A. They include the China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC) amended Arbitration Rules and their new Financial Disputes Arbitration Rules, the China Maritime Arbitration Commission (CMAC) amended Arbitration Rules and the newly issued International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Dispute Board Rules.

The reporting this year on the Iran-US Claims Tribunal in Part III – B contains a Decision relating to the Security Account and an Interlocutory Award on jurisdiction over counterclaims issued by the Full Tribunal in disputes between the United States and Iran.

A major part of the Yearbook reporting consists in the documentation of court decisions applying the 1958 New York Convention. This year, Part V – A reports the decisions in seventy-nine cases from twelve countries, including two newcomers, Brazil and Ireland. While, as usual, the majority of cases comes from courts in the United States, the reporting this year includes twelve German cases and five decisions each from Canada, Spain and the United Kingdom.

Recurring issues in the decisions are stay of enforcement proceedings pending annulment proceedings; waiver of rights and estoppel; sovereign immunity; the Brussels/Lugano Conventions and the former's successor, the EU Council Regulation no. 44 of 2001; and, particularly in the German decisions, the morefavourable-right provision. Injunctions enjoining a foreign lawsuit are a frequent issue in the UK decisions.

Issues relating in particular to US decisions include removal to federal court and remand to state court and the relationship among Chapters 1 (domestic arbitration), 2 (New York Convention 1958) and 3 (Panama Convention 1975) of the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA). A significant number of US cases deal with the question of whether the FAA allows disputes relating to contracts of employment of seamen which provide for foreign arbitration, to be referred to arbitration under Art. II of the Convention. In most cases the courts have held that the exemption in Chapter 1 FAA, which excludes employment contracts from the scope of the Act, does not apply to arbitrations falling under Chapter 2 of the Act, which implements the New York Convention. See:

United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, 15 June 2004 (US no. 493), *Holmes*;

United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 9 August 2004 (US no. 498), *Freudensprung*;

United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 19 October 2004 (US no. 503), *Amizola*;

United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 10 November 2004 (US no. 506), *Umali*; and

United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit, 18 January 2005 (US no. 513), Bautista.

Amizola, *Umali* and other decisions deal with the sometimes interrelated question of whether the Convention preempts mandatory provision of state laws prohibiting forum selection clauses. See also:

United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit (US no. 490), *Dahiya*; United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 27 May 2004 (US no. 492), *Lejano*; and

United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 24 March 2005 (US no. 518), Lim.

Part V – B reports two decisions applying the 1961 European Convention, including for the first time a decision from the Russian Federation. Part V – D

reports two US decisions applying the 1975 Panama Convention, in line with the continuing trend of an increasing number of courts applying this Convention.

Each component of Part V (Part V – A on the 1958 New York Convention, Part V – B on the 1961 European Convention, Part V – C on the 1965 Washington Convention, and Part V – D on the 1975 Panama Convention) contains an up-to date list of Contracting States and Signatories to the respective Convention. In addition, Part V – A also contains an Index of Cases Reported in Volume XXX (2005) which links the cases to the Commentaries on the New York Convention prepared by the General Editor (see Volume XXVIII (2003) for the most recent Commentary).

The Volume concludes with a list of journals on arbitration and a bibliography announcing recently published books relating to general topics in arbitration and individual countries and regions. This year's selection includes several new or updated handbooks and textbooks on international commercial arbitration, as well as a number of publications on settling foreign investment disputes.

The worldwide network of correspondents who generously provide assistance and material for the Yearbook is an essential element in the compilation and editing of the Yearbook. The correspondents are too numerous to thank individually in this Introduction. They are mentioned and acknowledged in the Introductions to the various Parts and in footnotes where appropriate.

The ICCA Editorial Staff works in close cooperation as a team throughout the year to collect and process the contents of the Yearbook. I would like, however, to express my thanks and appreciation to each of them individually for their essential contribution:

- Ms. Alice Siegel, who as sub-editor continues to assure that the Yearbook is presented in a literate, consistent and attractive format;
- D.ssa Silvia Borelli, who has assumed the responsibility for the New York Convention reporting, including translations into English from French, German, Italian and Spanish;
- Ms. Judy Freedberg, who with great skill and dedication puts together and manages the entire Yearbook.

On behalf of ICCA, continuing thanks go to the Permanent Court of Arbitration and its Secretary-General, Tjaco T. van den Hout, for hosting the Editorial Staff at the headquarters of its International Bureau at the Peace Palace. The administrative and technical support of the entire PCA staff is greatly appreciated.

In all of its publications, ICCA is advised by ICCA's Editorial Board. The

viii

Editorial Board is presently composed of Dr. Gerold Herrmann, President of ICCA (Austria); Mr. Antonio Parra, Secretary-General of ICCA (United States); Mr. Jan Paulsson, General Editor, *International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration* (France); Mr. Martin Hunter (United Kingdom) and the undersigned as General Editor of the ICCA publications.

Since the inception of the Yearbook, readers throughout the world have been a major source of material. Therefore, may I continue to call on you, as reader and Yearbook user, to submit texts concerning:

- recent changes in arbitration legislation;
- newly enacted arbitration rules;
- arbitral awards (the confidentiality of which is ensured);

- court decisions of general interest and, in particular, court decisions applying the UNCITRAL Model Law or the New York, European, Washington or Panama Convention.

The names of the readers who have provided materials for this volume are gratefully acknowledged in the appropriate Parts.

Brussels October 2005 Albert Jan van den Berg General Editor

Materials for the Yearbook are to be addressed to the General Editor or to the ICCA Editorial Staff at their respective addresses as indicated below.

ICCA Publications c/o International Bureau of the Permanent Court of Arbitration Carnegieplein 2 2517 KJ The Hague The Netherlands E-mail: icca@pca-cpa.org Prof. Dr. Albert Jan van den Berg c/o Hanotiau & van den Berg IT Tower, 9th Floor 480 Avenue Louise, B.9 1050 Brussels Belgium E-mail: ajvandenberg@hvdb.com

18th ICCA CONGRESS 31 May-3 June 2006 Montreal

Hosted by

ICCA Montreal 2006 Organizing Committee Inc.

at the

Centre Mont-Real

For program and registration information visit ICCA's website:

www.arbitration-icca.org

or the Congress website:

www.iccamontreal2006.org

VOLUME XXX – 2005

Introduction	
Albert Jan van den Berg, General Editor	v
18th ICCA Congress	xi
Table of Contents	xiii
Part I – National Reports	1
Note General Editor Table of Contents of International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration	1
Part II – A. Arbitral Awards	11
GermanyGerman Maritime Arbitration AssociationAward of 30 November 2000	13
Hamburg Friendly ArbitrationAward of 27 May 2002	17
International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)Case no. 9781 of 2000, interim award	22
• Case no. 10060 of 1999, final award	42
• Case no. 10596 of 2000, interlocutory award	66
• Case no. 10973 of 2001, interim award	77
• Case no. 11443 of 2001, award by consent	85

TABLE OF CONTENTS		
Index of Arbitral Awards	91	
Introduction Index of Arbitral Awards	91 93	
Part II – B. Court Decisions on Arbitration	97	
 Canada Court of Appeal for Ontario, 11 January 2005 United Mexican States v. Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa 	99	
 European Court of Justice European Court of Justice, Fourth Chamber, 27 January 2005 Guy Denuit, et al. v. Transorient – Mosaïque Voyages et Culture S.A. 	113	
 France Cour d'Appel, Paris, 17 June 2004 Michel Le Parmentier, et al. v. Société Miss France, et al. 	119	
• Cour de Cassation, 1 February 2005 State of Israel v. National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC)	125	
 Pakistan Sindh High Court, Karachi, 20 October 2003 Lithuanian Airlines v. Bhoja Airlines (Pvt.) Ltd. 	129	
 Sweden Svea Court of Appeal, Division 2, 28 February 2005 The Titan Corporation v. Alcatel CIT SA 	139	
Part II – C. Court Decisions Applying the UNCITRAL Model Law 145		
Case Law on UNCITRAL Texts (CLOUT) Canada	147	
 Case 501: Prince Edward Island Supreme Court, Trial Division, 23 March 2001 	149	

Case 503: Alberta Court of Appeal, 18 June 2001	
	151
Case 504: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 17 April 2002	152
Case 505: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 30 April 2002	152
Case 506: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 26 June 2002	153
• Case 507: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 20 September 2002	153
• Case 508: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 8 October 2002	154
• Case 509: Ontario Court of Appeal, 30 May 2003	154
• Case 510: British Columbia Supreme Court, 6 March 2003	156
Case 511: Supreme Court of Canada, 21 March 2003	157
• Case 512: British Columbia Court of Appeal, 15 January 2004	158
• Case 513: Alberta Court of Queen's Bench, 2 February 2004	158
• Case 514: British Columbia Supreme Court,10 July 2003	159
Case 515: Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 30 June 2003	159
• Case 516: Quebec Superior Court, 14 March 2003; Quebec Court of Appeal, 2 June 2003	160
Hong Kong	
0 0	
• Case 517: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000	161
e e.	161 162
Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000Case 518: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region,	
 Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000 Case 518: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 17 November 2000 Case 519: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 	162
 Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000 Case 518: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 17 November 2000 Case 519: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 8 December 2000 Case 520: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 	162 163
 Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000 Case 518: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 17 November 2000 Case 519: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 8 December 2000 Case 520: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 2 February 2001 Case 521: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 	162 163 163
 Court of First Instance, 6 July 2000 Case 518: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 17 November 2000 Case 519: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 8 December 2000 Case 520: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 2 February 2001 Case 521: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 23 February 2001 Case 522: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Case 522: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 	162 163 163 164

Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXX (2005)

xv

Court of First Instance, 17 March 2001	167
• Case 525: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 7 June 2001	168
• Case 526: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 12 June 2001	168
• Case 527: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 11 October 2001	169
• Case 528: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 15 October 2001	170
• Case 529: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 14 December 2001	171
 Case 530: High Court of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Court of First Instance, 20 December 2001 Index of Articles 	172 174
Part III – A. Arbitration Rules	183
 China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC) Arbitration Rules, 1 May 2005 Financial Disputes Arbitration Rules, 1 May 2005 	185 210
China Maritime Arbitration CommissionArbitration Rules, 1 October 2004	219
International Chamber of CommerceDispute Board Rules of the International Chamber of Commerce,	
1 September 2004	246
1 September 2004 Part III – B. Iran-US Claims Tribunal	246 269
•	

 Award in Case No. B1 (Counterclaim) (ITL 83-B1-FT) of 9 September 2004 	
United States of America v. The Islamic Republic of Iran	303
Part IV – Recent Developments in Arbitration Law and Practice	333
Introduction Chile	335 336
Denmark	336
Norway	337
Philippines	338
Poland	340
Part V – A. Court Decisions on the New York Convention 1958	341
Introduction List of Contracting States (as of 28 October 2005)	343 346
Index of Cases Reported in Volume XXX (2005) Albert Jan van den Berg	353
 Australia No. 24. Supreme Court of Tasmania, 14 August 2002 and 22 November 2002. 	
Origin Energy Resources Limited v. Benaris International N.V., et al.	371
 No. 25. Supreme Court of New South Wales, Equity Division, Commercial List, 29 July 2004 	
Corvetina Technology Limited v. Clough Engineering Limited	409
Austria	
No. 12. Oberster Gerichtshof, 19 February 2004 Croatian Manufacturer v. Austrian Buyer	416
• No. 13. Oberster Gerichtshof, 26 January 2005	
Austrian Buyer v. Serbian and Montenegrin Seller	421

Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXX (2005)

xvii

Br	azil	
•	No. 1. Superior Court of Justice, 18 May 2005 L'Aiglon SA v. Textil União SA	437
Са	unada	
•	No. 15. Court of Queen's Bench, 30 June 2000 Cangene Corporation (Canada) v. Octapharma AG	440
•	No. 16. Supreme Court, Province of Prince Edward Island, Trial Division, 23 March 2001	
	Grow Biz International Inc. v. D.L.T. Holdings Inc., et al.	450
•	No. 17. Supreme Court of British Columbia, 30 June 2004; Court of Appeal of British Columbia, 4 October 2004	166
	Powerex Corp. v. Alcan, Inc.	466
•	No. 18. New Brunswick Court of Queen's Bench, Trial Division, Judicial District of Saint John, 28 July 2004	
	Adamas Management & Services Inc. v. Aurado Energy Inc.	479
•	No. 19. Alberta Court of Queen's Bench, Judicial District of Edmonton, 9 December 2004,	
	Karaha Bodas Company, L.L.C. v. Perusahaan Pertambangan Minyak Dan Gas Bumi Negara, et al.	488
F		
	<i>ance</i> No. 35. Cour d'Appel, Paris, 10 June 2004	
	Bargues Agro Industrie SA v. Young Pecan Company	499
G	ermany	
	No. 72. Oberlandesgericht, 26 September 2002	
	Russian Debtor v. Creditor (in fact: Franz Sedelmayer)	505
•	No. 73. Hanseatisches Oberlandesgericht, Hamburg, 24 January 2003	
	Polish Buyer v. Polish Seller	509
•	No. 74. Oberlandesgericht, Schleswig, 15 July 2003 Ukrainian Seller v. German Buyer	524
•	No. 75. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 4 September 2003 Buyer v. Seller	528
•	No. 76. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 18 September 2003	
	Seller v. Buyer	536

• No. 77. Oberlandesgericht, Cologne, 6 October 2003 Investor (in fact: Franz Sedelmayer) v. Russian State Agency	541
• No. 78. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 20 November 2003 Supplier v. Shipper	547
 No. 79. Oberlandesgericht, Dresden, 1 March 2004 Claimant v. Defendant 	555
 No. 80. Oberlandesgericht, Cologne, 23 April 2004 Israeli Trading Company v. German Buyer 	557
• No. 81. Bayerisches Oberstes Landesgericht, 5 July 2004 Austrian Lawyer v. German Client	563
• No. 82. Bayerisches Oberstes Landesgericht, 23 September 2004 K Trading Company v. Bayerischen Motoren Werke AG	568
• No. 83. Oberlandesgericht, Cologne, 26 October 2004 Claimant v. Defendant	574
India	
 No. 40. High Court, Andhra Pradesh, 9 September 2002 International Investor KCSC v. Sanghi Polyesters Ltd. 	577
Ireland	
 No. 1. High Court, Dublin, 19 May 2004 Brostrom Tankers AB v. Factorias Vulcano SA 	591
Italy	
• No. 166. Corte di Cassazione, Plenary Session, 1 March 2002 UMS Generali Marine SpA v.Clerici Agenti srl, et al.	599
Spain	
 No. 38. Tribunal Supremo, 14 January 2003 Glencore Grain Limited v. Sociedad Ibérica de Molturación, S.A. 	605
• No. 39. Tribunal Supremo, 9 May 2003 Barconoya, S.A. v. Lavinia Corporation, S.A.	610
• No. 40. Tribunal Supremo, 7 October 2003	
Shaanxi Provincial Medical Health Products I/E Corporation v. Olpesa, S.A.	617

Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXX (2005)

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}$

•	No. 41. Tribunal Supremo, 9 October 2003	
	Unión Naval de Levante S.A. v. Bisba Comercial Inc.	623
•	No. 42. Tribunal Supremo, 14 October 2003	
	Fashion Ribbon Company, Inc. v. Iberband, S.L.	627
U	nited Kingdom	
•	No. 65. High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Commercial Court, 25 April 2002 and 14 October 2002; Court of Appeal, Civil Division, 3 July 2003	(22
	Welex AG v. Rosa Maritime Limited	633
•	No. 66. High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Commercial Court and Admiralty Court, 27 May 2004 <i>Atlanska Plovidba, et al. v. Consignaciones Asturianas S.A.</i>	649
•	No. 67. Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 2 December 2004	
	Through Transport Mutual Insurance Association (Eurasia) Limited v. New India Assurance Association Company Limited	662
•	No. 68. High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Commercial Court, 11 January 2005	
	Svenska Petroleum Exploration AB v. Government of the Republic of Lithuania, et al.	701
•	No. 69. High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division Commercial Court, 21 March 2005	
	West Tankers Inc. v. RAS Riunione Adriatica di Sicurtà SpA, et al.	717
U	nited States	
•	No. 483. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 27 June 2003; United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 3 August 2004	
	Lucent Technologies Inc., et al. v. Tatung Co.	747
•	No. 484. United States District Court, District of Columbia,20 July 2003; United States Court of Appeals, District of ColumbiaCircuit, 9 April 2004	
	Telcordia Technologies, Inc. v. Telkom SA, Limited	762
•	No. 485. United States District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Southern Division, 6 April 2004	
	Ineos Phenol, Inc. v. Lurgi Oel Gas Chemie GmbH, et al.	771

•	No. 486. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 8 April 2004; United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 23 March 2005 Keytrade USA, Inc. v. M/V AIN TEMOUCHENT and	
	Transports Maritime & Compagnie Nationale Algérienne de Navigation Maritime	777
•	No. 487. United States District Court, Eastern District of New York, 23 April 2004	
	Karen Maritime Limited v. Omar International Incorporated	790
•	No. 488. Court of Appeal of California, Second Appellate District, Division Five, 28 April 2004	
	DIAL 800, et al. v. David Fesbinder, et al.	799
9	No. 489. Court of Appeal of California, Second Appellate District, Division Five, 11 May 2004	
	Mir Kazem Kashani, et al. v. Tsann Kuen China Enterprise Co., Ltd., et al.	805
•	No. 490. United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 18 May 2004 and 27 July 2004	
	Vinod Kumar Dahiya v. Talmidge International, Ltd., et al.	809
•	No. 491. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, 25 May 2004	
	Nicor International Corporation, et al. v. El Paso Corporation	836
•	No. 492. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 27 May 2004	
	Feliciano Lejano, et al. v. K.S. Bandak Assuranceforeningen Gard, et al.	847
•	No. 493. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, 15 June 2004	
	Leslie Holmes v. Westport Shipyards, Inc. d/b/a Westport Yacht Sales, et al.	850
•	No. 494. United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, 29 June 2004	
	Raytheon Company v. Ashborn Agencies, Ltd.	860
•	No. 495. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 12 July 2004	
	Credit Suisse First Boston, LLC, et al. v. Jorge David Gonzalez Padilla, et al.	865
•	No. 496. United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit, 20 July 2004	

	Four Seasons Hotels and Resorts, B.V., et al. v. Consorcio Barr S.A.	872
•	No. 497. United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit, 23 July 2004	
	Flexi-Van Leasing, Inc. v. Through Transport Mutual Insurance Association, Ltd., et al.	882
•	No. 498. United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 9 August 2004	
	Fred Freudensprung v. Offshore Technical Services, Inc., et al.	891
•	No. 499. United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 16 August 2004	
	China National Metal Products Import/Export Company v. Apex Digital, Inc.	908
•	No. 500. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 31 August 2004	
	Pemex Refinacion v. Tbilisi Shipping Co. Ltd. et al.	915
•	No. 501. United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, 29 September 2004; United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit, 4 March 2005	
	Stawski Distributing Co., Inc. v. Browary Zywiec S.A.	923
0	No. 502. United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 7 October 2004	
	The Ministry of Defense and Support for the Armed Forces of the Islamic Republic of Iran v. Cubic Defense Systems, Inc., et al.	930
•	No. 503. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 19 October 2004	
	Editha T. Amizola v. Dolphin Shipowner, S.A.	941
•	No. 504. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 22 October 2004	
	Motorola Credit Corporation, et al. v. Kemal Uzan, et al.	951
•	No. 505. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 26 October 2004	
	JLM Industries, Inc., et al. v. Stolt-Nielsen SA, et al.	963
•	No. 506. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 10 November 2004	
	Francisca Umali Magsino v. Spiaggia Maritime, Ltd., et al.	986
•	No. 507. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 30 November 2004	

	Deiulemar Compagnia di Navigazione, S.p.A. v. Transocean Coal Company, Inc., et al.	991
•	No. 508. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 10 December 2004	
	Energy Transport, Ltd., et al. v. M.V. SAN SEBASTIAN and Oilmar Co., Ltd.	1006
0	No. 509. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 10 December 2004	1000
	Phoenix Aktiengesellschaft v. Ecoplas, Inc.	1026
•	No. 510. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 20 December 2004	
	Stone & Webster, Inc. v. Triplefine International Corporation	1035
•	No. 511. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 20 December 2004	
	Greg J. Lannes, III v. Operators International	1041
•	No. 512. United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia, Beckley Division, 13 January 2005	
	PinnOak Resources, LLC, et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, et al.	1061
•	No. 513. United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit, 18 January 2005	
	Rizalyn Bautista, et al. v. Star Cruises, et al.	1070
•	No. 514. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 7 February 2005	
	Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v. SRI International Business Insurance Company Ltd.	1086
•	No. 515. United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, 10 February 2005	
	IFC Interconsult, AG v. Safeguard International Partners, LLC	1095
٠	No. 516. United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Brownsville Division, 23 February 2005	
	Ensco Offshore Company v. Titan Marine L.L.C.	1102
•	No. 517. United States District Court, District of Columbia, 8 March 2005	
	International Bechtel Company Limited v. Department of Civil	
	Aviation of the Government of Dubai	1113

Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXX (2005)

xxiii

 No. 518. United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 24 March 2005 	
Joselito Madriaga Lim, v. Offshore Specialty Fabricators, Inc.	1118
 No. 519. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 25 March 2005 and 10 May 2005 Chemical Overseas Holdings, Inc., et al. v. Republica Oriental del Uruguay 	1130
 No. 520. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 31 March 2005 	
Encyclopaedia Universalis S.A. v. Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.	1136
 No. 521. United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit, 1 April 2005 Joseph C. Phillips v. Mowbray, L.L.C. (The White Mountain Mining 	
Company)	1144
 No. 522. United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, 12 April 2005 	
Alto Mar Girassol v. Lumbermens Âutual Casualty Company	1152
 No. 523. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, 14 April 2005 	
Sarhank Group v. Oracle Corporation	1158
 No. 524. United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, 20 May 2005 Mitsui & Co., Ltd. v. Delta Brands, Inc., et al. 	1165
 No. 525. United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, 17 June 2005 	
State Property Fund of Ukraine v. TMR Energy Limited	1179
Part V – B. Court Decisions on the European Convention 1961	1193
List of Contracting States (as of 28 October 2005)	1197
France	
• No. E6. Cour de Cassation, 30 March 2004 S.a.r.1. UNI-KOD v. Ouralkali	1200

 Russian Federation No. E1. Presidium of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation, Moscow, 30 March 2004 	
Stroilensky Gorno-obogatitelny Combinat v. Interconstruction Project Management S.A., et al.	1204
Part V– C. Court Decisions on the Washington Convention 1965	1209
List of Contracting States and Signatories (as of 25 May 2005)	1211
Part V – D. Court Decisions on the Panama Convention 1975	1219
List of Contracting States (as of 27 October 2005)	1221
 United States No. P19. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 24 June 2004 Allstate Insurance Company v. Banco do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul, S.A. 	1223
 No. P20. Supreme Court of New York, New York County, 18 March 2005 	1223
Corporacion Selee De Venezuela, S.A., et al. v. Selee Corporation, et al.	1231
Part VI – Articles on Arbitration	1237
Part VII – Bibliography	1239
I. General II. Countries	1241 1253
III. Journals on Arbitration	1261
List of ICCA Officers and Members	1273
Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXX (2005)	xxv