# INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

# YEARBOOK COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION VOLUME XXXII – 2007

### GENERAL EDITOR ALBERT JAN VAN DEN BERG

with the assistance of the Permanent Court of Arbitration Peace Palace, The Hague



Published by:

Kluwer Law International PO Box 316 2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn The Netherlands www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by: Aspen Publishers, Inc. 7201 McKinney Circle Frederick, MD 21704 United States of America

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:
Turpin Distribution Services Ltd.
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive
Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom

Printed on acid-free paper.

© 2007 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Permissions to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, 76 Ninth Avenue, Seventh Floor, New York, NY 10011, United States of America. E-mail: permissions@kluwerlaw.com.

Volume XXXII of the Yearbook again presents the reader with a selection of arbitral awards and court decisions made accessible by translations, indices and categorized lists. Reflecting the developments in the dissemination of information, when materials are readily available in a reliable and easily accessible form on the Internet, only selected texts are reproduced in the Yearbook.

In addition to its printed format, the Yearbook, along with ICCA's *International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration* and selected volumes of ICCA's *Congress Series*, is made available by subscription on the online service <www.kluwerarbitration.com>.

The International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration functions alongside the Yearbook in providing up-to-date information on arbitration law and practice in more than sixty countries. National Reports together with the relevant legal texts are published in the Handbook. The Table of Contents of the Handbook is reproduced in Part I of the Yearbook where, until the introduction of the Handbook, National Reports were published. In Part IV of the Yearbook, readers are informed of newly enacted arbitration legislation through summaries of the salient features of the legislation, and of other developments relevant to the practice of arbitration. In this volume, information is provided on Bolivia, China, Mongolia, New Zealand and Switzerland.

Part II - A, Arbitral Awards, contains a selection of awards made under the auspices of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the Netherlands Arbitration Institute (NAI). Topics discussed in the awards include the applicable law to both arbitration and the merits, the binding as opposed to the preliminary nature of a contract, the incorporation of standard conditions, the duty to negotiate in good faith, the duty to inform the contractual partner of deviations from usual business practice and the 1980 United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG).

The Yearbook no longer includes excerpts of awards made under the auspices of ICSID and its Additional Facility, as well as other "investment awards" made under BITs and NAFTA, as the full texts of such awards are promptly posted on various websites. In 2006 an extensive "Digest of Investment Treaty Decisions and Awards" by Devashish Krishan and Ania Farren was published. The Digest comprised publicly available final decisions and awards in investor-state arbitrations conducted pursuant to investment treaties and provided basic information on the decisions and awards, subject matters and the websites where they are posted.

Part II - B, Court Decisions on Arbitration, this year contains the European

Court of Justice decision of 26 October 2006 in *Mostaza v. Centro Movil Milenium*, where the ECJ held that under EC Council Directive 93/13/EEC of 5 April 1993 on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts a national court seized of an action for annulment of an award must determine whether the arbitration agreement is void because it contains an unfair term within the meaning of the Directive, even though the consumer first raised that objection in the course of the annulment action. This Part also contains the decision of the UK Court of Appeal of 16 October 2006 in *CGU v. AstraZeneca*. The Court of Appeal held that it has residual jurisdiction to permit an appeal where the lower court has denied that permission – despite the explicit prohibition in the English Arbitration Act 1996 – if the lower court's refusal is challenged on the grounds of unfairness under the European Human Rights Convention.

Since 2006, Part II - C of the Yearbook no longer reproduces the reporting on the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration in UNCITRAL's Case Law on UNCITRAL texts (CLOUT), as CLOUT can be consulted on UNCITRAL's own website (<www.uncitral.org>) together with a variety of indices to facilitate research.

Also as a consequence of the widespread practice of making materials directly available on the Internet, as of this Volume XXXII new or amended arbitration rules are announced rather than reproduced in the Yearbook, with a reference to the websites of the arbitral institutions where the rules can be obtained. This year, Part III — A provides information on the amended Rules of the Dubai International Arbitration Centre (DIAC), the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce and the International Arbitration Court at the Ukrainian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, as well as the rules of the Mongolian National Arbitration Center.

Part V — A, reporting on the 1958 New York Convention, traditionally constitutes the bulk of the Yearbook. This Volume contains 89 cases from 18 countries, including, for the first time, cases from Pakistan, Portugal and Venezuela. The selection includes 16 Spanish court decisions providing an update of Spanish jurisprudence on the application of the 1958 New York Convention. Decisions from Australia, Germany and Mexico reflect the parallel application of the UNCITRAL Model Law as adopted in these jurisdictions together with the Convention. In some decisions, the relationship between the 1958 New York Convention and the 1961 European Convention is also mentioned. The reporting in Part V — A includes cases from Austria, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Mexico, Portugal, the Russian Federation, Spain, Switzerland and Venezuela, all translated from their original language into English.

Recurring issues in the 1958 New York Convention decisions include arbitrability of disputes arising under certain national statutes, non-signatories to the arbitration agreement, public policy, incorporation of the arbitration agreement by reference to standard contracts, applicable law to arbitration agreements, separability of the arbitration clause from the main contract in which it is contained and written form of the arbitration agreement. Several decisions deal with the scope of the arbitration clause. The decision rendered in October 2007 by the House of Lords in *Premium Nafta*, on appeal in the *Fiona Trust* case, is particularly relevant in this respect (UK no. 77). By that decision, the House of Lords obliterated the distinction between clauses providing for arbitration of disputes "arising out of" and "arising under" a contract. Although clauses using the latter wording were sometimes given a narrower meaning by the English courts, the House of Lords held that there is no such difference as arbitration clauses in international contracts must be liberally construed.

Part V – B contains the decision of the Commercial Court of the City of Kiev of 30 January 2003 in *Closed Joint Stock Company v. Czech Company*, holding that under Art. VI of the 1961 European Convention, an objection to court jurisdiction based on an arbitration clause must be raised before or at the same time as the filing of a defense on the merits of the dispute.

Part V – D contains the decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York of 2 August 2007 in Sanluis Developments, LLC et al. v. CCP Sanluis, LLC et al., where the court held that the defense of manifest disregard of the law applies to the annulment of an arbitral award under the 1975 Panama Convention.

Each component of Part V (Part V – A on the 1958 New York Convention, Part V – B on the 1961 European Convention, Part V – C on the 1965 Washington Convention, and Part V – D on the 1975 Panama Convention) contains an up-to-date list of Contracting States and Signatories to the respective Convention. In addition, Part V – A also contains an Index of Cases Reported in Volume XXXII (2007) which links the cases to the Commentaries on the New York Convention prepared by the General Editor (see Volume XXVIII (2003) for the most recent Commentary).

The Yearbook concludes with the Bibliography, which this year includes works providing a review of arbitration in Latin America and Asia as well as studies of mediation and other means of alternative dispute resolution.

The Yearbook's effort to reflect as many aspects as possible of the evolving world of arbitration was supported as always by its numerous correspondents, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged. They are individually thanked in the Introductions to the various Parts and in footnotes where appropriate.

I also wish to express my deep appreciation to the ICCA Editorial Staff, D.ssa Silvia Borelli, managing editor, and Ms. Alice Siegel, assistant managing editor, who collected, selected, translated, excerpted and edited this volume. They were joined in August 2007 by Ms. Tamela Smith, senior editor, following the retirement of Judy Freedberg, for many years the heart and motor of ICCA publications.

On behalf of ICCA, thanks go to the Permanent Court of Arbitration and its Secretary-General, Tjaco T. van den Hout. For more than a decade, the Permanent Court of Arbitration has hosted the ICCA Editorial Staff at the headquarters of its International Bureau at the Peace Palace. The administrative and technical support of the entire PCA staff is greatly appreciated.

In all of its publications, ICCA is advised by ICCA's Editorial Board. The Editorial Board is presently composed of Dr. Gerold Herrmann, President of ICCA (Austria); Mr. Antonio Parra, Secretary-General of ICCA (United States); Mr. Jan Paulsson, General Editor, *International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration* (France); Mr. Martin Hunter (United Kingdom) and the undersigned as General Editor of the ICCA publications.

Since the inception of the Yearbook, readers throughout the world have been a major source of material. Therefore, may I continue to call on you, as reader and Yearbook user, to submit texts concerning:

- recent changes in arbitration legislation;
- newly enacted arbitration rules;
- arbitral awards (the confidentiality of which is ensured);
- court decisions of general interest and, in particular, court decisions applying the UNCITRAL Model Law or the New York, European, Washington or Panama Convention.

Brussels November 2007 Albert Jan van den Berg General Editor

Materials for the Yearbook are to be addressed to the General Editor or to the ICCA Editorial Staff at their respective addresses as indicated below.

ICCA Publications
c/o International Bureau of the
Permanent Court of Arbitration
Carnegieplein 2
2517 KJ The Hague
The Netherlands

E-mail: icca@pca-cpa.org

Prof. Dr. Albert Jan van den Berg c/o Hanotiau & van den Berg IT Tower, 9th Floor 480 Avenue Louise, B.9 1050 Brussels Belgium

E-mail: ajvandenberg@hvdb.com

# ICCA CONFERENCE 8 June -10 June 2008 Dublin

# Hosted by

The Bar Council of Ireland

at the

Croke Park Conference Centre

For program and registration information visit ICCA's website:

www.arbitration-icca.org

or the Congress website:

www.iccadublin2008.org

## **VOLUME XXXII – 2007**

Introduction	
Albert Jan van den Berg, General Editor	v
ICCA Conference 2008	xi
Table of Contents	xiii
Part I – National Reports	1
Note General Editor	1
Table of Contents of International Handbook on Commercial Arbitration	1
Part II – A. Arbitral Awards	11
International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)	
• Case no. 7722 of 1999, final award	13
• Case no. 9613 of 1999, final award	42
• Case no. 11663 of 2003, final award on jurisdiction	60
• Case no. 12172 of 2003, final award	85
Netherlands Arbitration Institute	0.3
• Interim award of 10 February 2005	93
• Final award of 30 August 2005	107
Index of Arbitral Awards	117
Introduction	117
Index of Arbitral Awards	119
Yearbook Comm. Arb'n XXXII (2007)	xiii

Part II – B. Court Decisions on Arbitration	125
<ul> <li>European Court of Justice</li> <li>European Court of Justice, First Chamber, 26 October 2006, Case C-168/05</li> <li>Elisa Maria Mostaza Claro v. Centro Movil Milenium SL</li> </ul>	127
<ul> <li>United Kingdom</li> <li>Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 16 October 2006</li> <li>CGU International Insurance plc and others v. AstraZeneca Insurance</li> <li>Co Ltd</li> </ul>	136
Part II – C. Court Decisions Applying the UNCITRAL Model Law	167
Part III – A. Arbitration Rules	169
New and Amended Arbitration Rules	171
Part III – B. Iran-US Claims Tribunal	175
Note	177
Part IV – Recent Developments in Arbitration Law and Practice	179
Introduction Bolivia	181 181
China	181
Mongolia	183
New Zealand	183
Switzerland	183

Part V – A. Court Decisions on the New York Convention 1958	185
Introduction List of Contracting States (as of 18 October 2007)	187 190
Index of Cases Reported in Volume XXXII (2007) Albert Jan van den Berg	197
<ul> <li>Australia</li> <li>No. 29. Supreme Court of Victoria, Commercial and Equity Division, 31 August 2005</li> </ul>	
La Donna Pty Ltd v. Wolford AG	216
<ul> <li>No. 30. Federal Court of Australia, New South Wales District Registry, 22 August 2006 and 20 December 2006</li> </ul>	
Pan Australia Shipping Pty Ltd v. The Ship COMANDATE (NO 2)	224
Austria  No. 15. Oberster Gerichtshof, 24 August 2005	254
O Limited v. S GmbH	254
<ul> <li>No. 16. Oberster Gerichtshof, 26 April 2006</li> <li>D SA v. W GmbH</li> </ul>	259
<ul> <li>No. 17. Oberster Gerichtshof, 26 April 2006</li> <li>M GmbH v. M Inc. USA</li> </ul>	266
Brazil	
• No. 2. Superior Tribunal de Justiça, 17 August 2005  Thales Geosolutions Inc. v. Fonseca Almeida Representações e Comércio	
Ltda. — FARCO	271
<ul> <li>No. 3. Superior Tribunal de Justiça, 18 August 2005</li> <li>Espal Representações e Conta Própria Ltda v. Wilhelm Fette GmbH</li> </ul>	275
France	
<ul> <li>No. 38. Cour d'Appel, Paris, First Chamber, 23 March 2006 SNF SAS v. Cytec Industries BV</li> </ul>	282
• No. 39. Cour de Cassation, First Civil Chamber, 7 June 2006 Copropriété Maritime Jules Verne, et al. v. ABS – American Bureau of	
Shipping	290

• No. 40. Cour de Cassation, First Civil Chamber, 21 November 2006	
Groupama Transport v. MS Regine Hans und Klaus Heinrich KG	294
<ul> <li>No. 41. Cour d'Appel, Paris, 18 January 2007</li> <li>S.A. Lesbats et Fils v. Dr. Volker Grub</li> </ul>	297
<ul> <li>No. 42. Cour de Cassation, First Civil Chamber, 29 June 2007</li> <li>PT Putrabali Adyamulia v. Rena Holding, et al.</li> </ul>	299
Germany	
<ul> <li>No. 96. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 2 October 2001 and Bundesgerichtshof, 30 January 2003</li> </ul>	
Seller v. Buyer	303
<ul> <li>No. 97. Kammergericht, Berlin, 13 March 2002</li> <li>T GmbH v. NH GmbH</li> </ul>	309
<ul> <li>No. 98. Oberlandesgericht, Düsseldorf, 21 July 2004</li> <li>Licensee v. Licensor</li> </ul>	315
<ul> <li>No. 99. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 6 October 2005</li> <li>Seller v. Buyer</li> </ul>	322
<ul> <li>No. 100. Bundesgerichtshof, 23 February 2006</li> <li>Seller v. Buyer</li> </ul>	328
• No. 101. Oberlandesgericht, Karlsruhe, 27 March 2006 Claimant v. Defendant	342
No. 102. Kammergericht, Berlin, 18 May 2006     Construction company v. Engineering company	347
• No. 103. Oberlandesgericht, Frankfurt am Main, 26 June 2006 Manufacturer v. Buyer	351
• No. 104. Obelandesgericht, Karlsruhe, 3 July 2006 Claimant v. Defendant	358
<ul> <li>No. 105. Kammergericht, Berlin, 10 August 2006</li> <li>Company Y v. State X, et al.</li> </ul>	363
• No. 106. Oberlandesgericht, Celle, 14 December 2006 Shipowner v. Charterer	372
I I	
<ul> <li>No. 169. Corte di Cassazione, 15 June 2000, no. 8163</li> </ul>	
Generalscavi srl v. Voest Alpine Bergtechnik GmbH	383

• No. 170. Corte di Cassazione, 7 February 2001, no. 1732  Tema Frugoli SpA, in liquidation v. Hubei Space Quarry Industry Co. Ltd.	390
• No. 171. Corte di Appello, Milan, 5 November 2003 Polcon Italiana srl v. P.T. Perkebunan Nusantara III Persero	397
<ul> <li>No. 172. Corte di Appello, Florence, 17 May 2005</li> <li>Nuovo Pignone SpA v. Schlumberger SA</li> </ul>	403
<ul> <li>No. 173. Corte di Cassazione [Supreme Court], 30 May 2006, no. 12873</li> </ul>	
Industrie Technofrigo Dell'Orto SpA v. PS Profil Epitoipari Kereskedelmi SS Szolgatató KFT	406
Mexico	
<ul> <li>No. 4. Suprema Corte de Justicia de la Nación, First Chamber,</li> <li>11 January 2006</li> </ul>	
LDC, S.A. de C.V. v. ADT Security Services, S.A. de C.V., et al.	410
New Zealand	
<ul> <li>No. 4. High Court of New Zealand, Auckland Registry,</li> <li>22 February 2006</li> </ul>	
Carter Holt Harvey Limited v. Genesis Power Limited, et al.	422
Pakistan	
<ul> <li>No. 1. High Court, Karachi, 14 February 2006</li> <li>Travel Automation Ltd. v. Abacus International Pvt. Ltd. and others</li> </ul>	438
No. 2. High Court, Karachi, 7 March 2006  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International LIK. Ltd.  Material Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed International Little Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Magazed Little Steel Corporation	449
Metropolitan Steel Corporation Ltd. v. Macsteel International U.K. Ltd.	777
<ul><li>Philippines</li><li>No. 2. Court of Appeals, Manila, Special Former Fourth</li></ul>	
Division, 29 November 2006	
Luzon Hydro Corporation v. Hon. Rommel O. Baybay, in his capacity as Judge of the Regional Trial Court of Makati City, Branch 132, et al.	456
Portugal  No. 1. Summer Tribunal de Luction 0 Octobre 2002	
<ul> <li>No. 1. Supremo Tribunal de Justiça, 9 October 2003</li> <li>A v. B. &amp; Cia. Ltda., et al.</li> </ul>	474

Russian Federation	
<ul> <li>No. 12. Federal Arbitrazh Court, Moscow District, 8 January 2004</li> <li>ZAO Mosukrainsnab v. National Joint-Stock Company Naftogaz of Ukraine</li> </ul>	480
<ul> <li>No. 13. Presidium of the Supreme Arbitrazh Court of the Russian Federation, 19 September 2006</li> </ul>	
Joy Lud Distributors International Inc. v. Open Joint Stock Company Moscow Oil Refinery Plant	485
Singapore	
<ul> <li>No. 5. Supreme Court of Singapore, High Court, 10 May 2006</li> <li>Aloe Vera of America, Inc v. Asianic Food (S) Pte Ltd and Another</li> </ul>	489
Spain	
<ul> <li>No. 47. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 1 February 2000</li> <li>Project XJ220 Ltd v. Mohamed Yassin D.</li> </ul>	507
<ul> <li>No. 48. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 8 February 2000</li> <li>Vinalmar, SA v. Gaspar Peral y Cía, SL</li> </ul>	512
<ul> <li>No. 49. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 28 March 2000</li> <li>Kil Management A/S v. J. García Carrión, SA</li> </ul>	518
<ul> <li>No. 50. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 11 April 2000 Union Générale de Cinéma, SA v. X Y Z Desarrollos, SA</li> </ul>	525
<ul> <li>No. 51. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 31 July 2000</li> <li>Ionian Shipping Line Co. Ltd. v. Transhipping, SA</li> </ul>	532
<ul> <li>No. 52. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 28 November 2000</li> <li>Precious Stones Shipping Limited v. Querqus Alimentaria, SL</li> </ul>	540
<ul> <li>No. 53. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 26 February 2002</li> <li>Strategic Bulk Carriers Inc. v. Sociedad Ibérica de Molturación, SA</li> </ul>	550
<ul> <li>No. 54. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 8 October 2002</li> <li>Scandlines, AB, et al. v. Ferrys del Mediterráneo, S.L.</li> </ul>	555
<ul> <li>No. 55. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 29 November 2002</li> <li>Rederij Empire CV v. Arrocerías Herba, SA, et al.</li> </ul>	567
<ul> <li>No. 56. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, Plenary Session,</li> <li>4 March 2003</li> </ul>	
Saroc, S.p.A. v. Sahece, S.A.	571
<ul> <li>No. 57. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, Plenary Session,</li> <li>1 April 2003</li> </ul>	

Satico Shipping Company Limited v. Maderas Iglesias	582
<ul> <li>No. 58. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, Plenary Session,</li> <li>29 April 2003</li> </ul>	
Navysun Shipping Ltd v. Española de Forrajes, SA	591
• No. 59. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 27 January 2004 Rosso e Nero GaststättenbetriebsgmbH v. Almendrera Industrial Catalana, SA (ALICSA)	597
• No. 60. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 3 February 2004 Tradigrain, S.A. v. Sociedad Ibérica de Molturación, SA (SIMSA)	603
<ul> <li>No. 61. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 31 May 2005</li> <li>Pueblo Film Distribution Hungary KFT v. Laurenfilm, SA</li> </ul>	608
• No. 62. Tribunal Supremo, Civil Chamber, 21 February 2006 Construcciones MJ v. Party not indicated	616
Switzerland	
• No. 39. Tribunal Fédéral, Second Civil Chamber, 21 February 2005 and 3 January 2006	
Buyer v. Seller	619
United Kingdom	
• No. 76. Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 13 November 2006 Svenska Petroleum Exploration AB v. Government of the Republic of Lithuania, et al.	629
<ul> <li>No. 77. Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 24 January 2007 and House of Lords, 17 October 2007</li> </ul>	
Yuri Privalov and others v. Fiona Trust Holding Corporation and others	
(On appeal: Fili Shipping Company Limited (14th Claimant) and others v. Premium Nafta Products Limited (20th Defendant) and others	654
United States	
<ul> <li>No. 580. United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, 9 February 2006</li> </ul>	
John Williams, et al. v. Deutsche Bank AG, et al.	683
<ul> <li>No. 581. United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, 3 March 2006</li> </ul>	
Avado Brands, Inc. v. KPMG LLP, et al.	688

•	No. 582. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 30 March 2006 Banco de Santander Central Hispano, S.A. v. Consalvi International Inc.	698
•	No. 583. United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania, 5 May 2006	
	Jorf Lasfar Energy Company, S.C.A. v. AMCI Export Corporation	713
•	No. 584. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, 5 May 2006	710
_	Sea Bowld Marine Group, LDC v. Oceanfast Pty, Ltd., et al.	719
•	No. 585. Court of Appeal of Louisiana, Fourth Circuit, 26 May 2006	
	Vinod Kumar Dahiya v. Talmidge International Ltd., et al.	737
•	No. 586. United States District Court, Middle District of Pennsylvania, 13 June 2006	
	Peter & Deborah Amato, et al. v. KPMG LLP, et al.	748
•	No. 587. United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, 5 July 2006	
	HSN Capital LLC, et al. v. Productora y Comercializador de Television, S.A. de C.V.	774
•	No. 588. United States District Court, District of Connecticut, 12 July 2006	
	Budejovicky Budvar, N.P. v. Czech Beer Importers, Inc.	780
•	No. 589. United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division	
	David A. Smoller, et al. v. Deutsche Bank AG, et al.	784
•	No. 590. United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania, 31 July 2006	
	Steel Corporation of the Philippines v. International Steel Services, Inc.	789
•	No. 591. United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 3 August 2006	
	China North Industries Tianjin Corp. v. Grand Field Co., Inc.	797
•	No. 592. United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit, 8 August 2006	0.5.5
	Stephen and Mary Birch Foundation, Inc. v. Admart AG, et al.	800
•	No. 593. United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit, 14 August 2006	

	Telcordia Technologies, Inc. v. Telkom SA Ltd.	810
•	No. 594. United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 17 August 2006 Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London and Other Insurers Subscribing to Reinsurance Agreements No. F96/2922/00 and No. F97/2992/00	822
•	v. Warrantech Corp., et al.  No. 595. United States District Court, Middle District of Pennsylvania, 23 August 2006  M. Diane Koken, Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as Liquidator of American Integrity Insurance Co. v. Cologne Reinsurance (Barbados) Ltd.	830
•	No. 596. United States District Court, District of Arizona, 31 August 2006 and 20 September 2006 BCE WEST, L.P., et al.; Gerald K. Smith, as Plan Trustee, et al. v. ACE Insurance Company, Ltd., et al.	838
•	No. 597. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 31 August 2006 Sigma Coatings USA B. V. v. SigmaKalon B. V., et al.	850
•	No. 598. United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, 13 September 2006  Sphere Drake Insurance Limited v. The Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, et al.	857
•	No. 599. United States District Court, Western District of Texas, Austin Division, 20 September 2006 Ascension Orthopedics, Inc. v. curasan AG	871
•	No. 600. United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, 3 October 2006  Michael Ancar, et al. v. Murphy Oil, USA, Inc., et al.	877
•	No. 601. United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, 3 October 2006  Nicholas Hollingshad, et al. v. Deutsche Bank AG, et al.	884
•	No. 602. United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania, 10 October 2006  Tyco Valves & Controls Distribution GmbH v. Tippins, Inc.	890
•	No. 603. United States District Court, District of Vermont, 1 November 2006	

Gerling-Konzern General Insurance Company — UK Branch v. Noble Assurance Company, et al.	898
<ul> <li>No. 604. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit,</li> <li>21 November 2006</li> <li>ICC Chemical Corporation v. TCL Industries (Malaysia) SDN</li> </ul>	905
<ul> <li>No. 605. United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, 5 December 2006</li> <li>Suraleb, Inc. v. Production Association "Minsk Tractor Works", Republic of Belarus</li> </ul>	908
<ul> <li>No. 606. United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, 6 December 2006</li> <li>Celanese Corporation, et al. v. The BOC Group plc</li> </ul>	912
<ul> <li>No. 607. United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, 18 December 2006</li> <li>Goshawk Dedicated Limited v. Portsmouth Settlement Company I, Inc.</li> </ul>	923
<ul> <li>No. 608. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 18 December 2006</li> <li>Storm LLC v. Telenor Mobile Communications AS, et al.</li> </ul>	943
<ul> <li>No. 609. United States District Court, District of North Dakota, Southeastern Division, 19 December 2006</li> <li>James D. Ingstad, et al. v. Grant Thornton, LLP, et al.</li> </ul>	959
<ul> <li>No. 610. Court of Appeal of California, First Appellate District, Division Two, 3 January 2007</li> <li>Rambus Inc. v. Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., et al</li> </ul>	967
<ul> <li>No. 611. United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 31 January 2007</li> <li>Bitumenes Orinoco, S.A. v. New Brunswick Power Holding Corporation</li> </ul>	991
Venezuela	
<ul> <li>No. 1. Tribunal Supremo de Justicia, Constitutional Chamber,</li> <li>14 February 2006</li> </ul>	
Corporación Todosabor C.A. v. Häagen-Dazs International Shoppe Company, Inc.	995

Part V – B. Court Decisions on the European Convention 1961	1003
List of Contracting States (as of 18 October 2007)	1007
<ul> <li>Ukraine</li> <li>No. E1. Commercial Court, City of Kiev, 30 January 2003 Closed Joint Stock Company X v. Company Y, et al.</li> </ul>	1010
Part V– C. Court Decisions on the Washington Convention 1965	1013
List of Contracting States and Signatories (as of 18 October 2007)	1015
Part V – D. Court Decisions on the Panama Convention 1975	1023
List of Contracting States (as of 18 October 2007)	1025
<ul> <li>United States</li> <li>P21. United States District Court, Southern District of New York,</li> <li>2 August 2007</li> <li>Sanluis Developments, L.L.C., et al. v. CCP Sanluis, L.L.C., et al.</li> </ul>	1027
Part VI – Articles on Arbitration	1037
Part VII – Bibliography	1039
I. General II. Countries	1041 1060
III. Journals on Arbitration	1066
List of ICCA Officers and Members	1075